

Application/Control Number: 09/996,577
Art Unit: 2683

Docket No.: 2001-0237

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks are respectfully requested. Claims 2-4, 6-9, and 15-16 remain pending, claims 2-4, 6-9, and 15-16 having been amended, and claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22 having been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

In the outstanding non-Final Office Action, the Examiner objected to claim 1 due to alleged informalities; and rejected claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over published U.S. Patent Application 2001/0003191 to Kovacs et al ("Kovacs") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,536 to Basilier et al ("Basilier").

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for acknowledging that claims 2-4, 6-8 and 15-16 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant notes that claim 9 also contains allowable subject matter. Claim 9 was not identified in this Office Action as either being rejected or containing allowable subject matter. However, Applicant notes that claim 9 depends from claim 2, which, as acknowledged by the Examiner, contains allowable subject matter. Therefore, claim 9, must also be allowable.

Objection to Claim 1

On page 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claim 1 because the phrase, "in the event of a mobile terminal," which appeared at the end of claim 1, was allegedly confusing. Applicant canceled claim 1 thereby making the objection moot. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

Applicant notes that the features of claim 1 were added to amended claim 2, but that the phrase, "in the event of a mobile terminal" was omitted.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,577
Art Unit: 2683

Docket No.: 2001-0237

Claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22

On page 2 of the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kovacs and further in view of Basilier. Applicant canceled claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22 without disclaimer or prejudice thereby making the rejection moot. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1, 5, 10-14, and 17-22 be withdrawn.

Claims 2-4, 6-8, and 15-16


On page 10 of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledged that claims 2-4, 6-8, and 15-16 would be allowable if amended to include the features of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant amended these claims to include the features of the base claim and any intervening claims. Therefore, Applicant submits that claims 2-4, 6-8, and 15-16 are now allowable and respectfully requests that the objection to these claims be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Having addressed all rejections and objections, Applicant respectfully submits that the subject application is in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 11, 2004

By: 

Correspondence Address:
26652

Thomas M. Isaacson
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 44,166
Phone: 410-414-3056
Fax No.: 410-510-1433